

SU(3)-breaking effects in hyperon semileptonic decays from lattice QCD

Silvano SIMULA

*INFN, Sezione Roma 3, Via della Vasca Navale 84, I-00146 Roma, Italy
E-mail: simula@roma3.infn.it*

We present the first quenched lattice QCD study of all the vector and axial form factors relevant for the hyperon semileptonic decay $\Sigma^- \rightarrow n \ell \nu$.

Recently it has been shown¹ that SU(3)-breaking corrections to the $K \rightarrow \pi$ vector form factor (f.f.) can be determined from lattice simulations with great precision, allowing to reach the percent level of accuracy in the extraction of V_{us} from $K_{\ell 3}$ decays. An independent way to extract V_{us} is provided by hyperon semileptonic decays, and Ref.² has shown that it is possible to extract the product $|V_{us} \cdot f_1(0)|$ at the percent level from experiments, where $f_1(0)$ is the vector f.f. at zero-momentum transfer.

In Ref.³ we have performed a lattice QCD study of SU(3)-breaking corrections in all the vector and axial f.f.'s relevant for the $\Sigma^- \rightarrow n \ell \nu$ decay. Though the simulation has been carried out in the quenched approximation, our results represent the first attempt to evaluate hyperon f.f.'s using a non-perturbative method based only on QCD.

For each f.f. we have studied its momentum and mass dependencies, obtaining its value extrapolated at $q^2 = 0$ and at the physical point. Our results are collected in Table 1.

It can be seen that the SU(3)-breaking corrections to $f_1(0)$ have been determined with great statistical accuracy in the regime of the simulated quark masses, which correspond to pion masses above 0.7 GeV. The magnitude of the errors reported in Table 1 is mainly due to the chiral extrapolation and to the poor convergence of the Heavy Baryon Chiral Perturbation Theory.⁴ Though within large errors the central value of $f_1(0)$ arises from a partial cancellation between the contributions of local terms, evaluated on the lattice, and chiral loops. This may indicate that SU(3)-breaking corrections on $f_1(0)$ are moderate, giving support to the analysis of Ref.²

Table 1. Lattice results³ for the vector and axial form factors at $q^2 = 0$ for the $\Sigma \rightarrow n$ transition. The errors do not include the quenching effect.

$f_1(0)$	$-0.988 \pm 0.029_{\text{lattice}} \pm 0.040_{\text{HBChPT}}$
$g_1(0)/f_1(0)$	-0.287 ± 0.052
$f_2(0)/f_1(0)$	-1.52 ± 0.81
$f_3(0)/f_1(0)$	-0.42 ± 0.22
$g_2(0)/f_1(0)$	$+0.63 \pm 0.26$
$g_3(0)/f_1(0)$	$+6.1 \pm 3.3$

The ratio $g_1(0)/f_1(0)$ is found to be negative and consistent with the value adopted in Ref.² In the limit of exact SU(3) symmetry we obtain $[g_1(0)/f_1(0)]_{\text{SU}(3)} = -0.269 \pm 0.047$. This means that SU(3)-breaking corrections are moderate also on this ratio, though it is not protected by the Ademollo-Gatto theorem against first-order corrections.

The weak electricity form factor g_2 is found to be non-vanishing because of SU(3)-breaking corrections. Our result for $g_1(0)/f_1(0)$ combined with that of $g_2(0)/f_1(0)$ are nicely consistent with the experimental result from Ref.⁵ Our findings favor the scenario in which $g_2(0)/f_1(0)$ is large and positive with a corresponding reduced value for $|g_1(0)/f_1(0)|$ with respect to the conventional assumption $g_2(q^2) = 0$ based on exact SU(3) symmetry.

Future lattice QCD studies of the hyperon semileptonic transitions should remove the quenched approximation and lower the quark masses as much as possible in order to reduce the impact of the chiral extrapolation. The accuracy of the ratios $f_2(0)/f_1(0)$, $f_3(0)/f_1(0)$, $g_2(0)/f_1(0)$ and $g_3(0)/f_1(0)$ may be improved by implementing twisted boundary conditions for the quark fields (see Ref.⁶), which allow to reach values of the momentum transfer closer to $q^2 = 0$. Finally, the use of smeared source and sink for the interpolating fields as well as the use of several, independent interpolating fields may help in increasing the overlap with the ground-state signal, particularly at low values of the quark masses.

References

1. D. Becirevic *et al.*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **705**, 339 (2005); *Eur. Phys. J. A* **24S1**, 69 (2005).
2. N. Cabibbo *et al.*, *Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci.* **53**, 39 (2003).
3. D. Guadagnoli *et al.*, hep-ph/0606181; *Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl.* **140**, 390 (2005); *PoS LAT2005*, 358 (2005).
4. G. Villadoro, *Phys. Rev. D* **74**, 014018 (2006).
5. S. Y. Hsueh *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. D* **38**, 2056 (1988).
6. D. Guadagnoli *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. D* **73**, 114504 (2006).